1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	MINUTES
11	PLANNING COMMITTEE
12	Council of the County of Maui
13	Council Chamber
14	September 5, 2002
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	1	CONVENE:	1:40 p.m.
	2	PRESENT:	Councilmember Charmaine Tavares, Chair Councilmember Alan M. Arakawa, Member
	3		(Out 2:17 p.m.)
	4		Councilmember Robert Carroll, Member Councilmember G. Riki Hokama, Member
	5		(In 1:58 p.m.) Councilmember Jo Anne Johnson, Member
	6		Councilmember Danny A. Mateo, Member Councilmember Wayne K. Nishiki, Member (In 2:01 p.m.; Out 2:35 p.m.)
	7		
	8	EXCUSED:	Councilmember Michael J. Molina, Member Councilmember Dain P. Kane, Member
	9	STAFF:	Wayne A. Boteilho, Legislative Analyst Camille Sakamoto, Committee Secretary
	10	ADMIN.:	Brian Miskae, Planning Program
Administrat	or,		, , , , , ,
	11		Long Range Planning Division, Department of Planning
Planning	12		Daren Suzuki, Planner, Department of
	13	OTHERS:	Lance Holter
		•	Ron McOmber
	14		Dick Mayer Sean McLaughlin
	15		DeGray Vanderbilt
	16		Warren Suzuki
	17		Terryl Vencl Mercer "Chubby" Vicens
	18		Scott Matsuura
	19	PRESS:	Akaku: Maui Community Television, Inc.
	20		

please	21	CHAIR TAVARES: (Gavel). The Planning Committee
	22	come to order. This afternoon we have
Johnson	23	Councilmembers Mateo, Arakawa, Carroll, and
Riki	24	present. Excused are Mike Molina, Dain Kane,
	25	Hokama for now and Wayne Nishiki for now. We do

3	PC	9/5/02
to	1	have bare quorum this afternoon, but we will try
	2	proceed without our other cohorts.
	3	From the Administration we have Mr. Brian
ranger.	4	Miskae, who I affectionately call our long
From	5	He's head of the Long Range Planning Division.
Wayne	6	our Staff, Camille Sakamoto, Secretary, and
	7	Boteilho, our Legislative Analyst.
	8	THEN NO O. INDROUTING HUE PROCESS FOR UPDARTING HUE
51)	9	ITEM NO. 8: IMPROVING THE PROCESS FOR UPDATING THE GENERAL AND COMMUNITY PLANS (C.C. No. 98-
members	10	CHAIR TAVARES: At this time I would like to have
come	11	of the public who have signed up to testify to
	12	forward. If you wish to testify, please sign up

until	13	here and we'll keep the testimony portion open
to	14	everyone has had a chance to an opportunity
	15	sign up.
Lance	16	Our first testifier this afternoon is
	17	Holter, to be followed by Ron McOmber. You have
	18	three minutes and the one to conclude or three
for	19	minutes to return afterwards. State your name
besides	20	the record, and if you represent anything
	21	yourself or anyone anything anyone besides
	22	yourself, please let us know.
	23	BEGIN PUBLIC TESTIMONY
	24	MR. HOLTER: Thank you, Charmaine. I'm speaking today
	25	about the community plan update. I have two

4	
	1 suggestions that I want to
	2 CHAIR TAVARES: Just state your name for the record,
	3 please, Lance.
I'm	4 MR. HOLTER: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Lance Holter.
	from Paia, and I'm speaking in reference to the
to	6 community plan update. Two suggestions I have

be	7	make the process more community oriented would
	8	that we require notification to the neighborhood
change	9	when any community plan update is proposed or
be	10	to the community plan. This notification would
residents	11	done by registered mail to at least the
	12	within 500 feet of the proposed change.
I	13	Occasions happened the last few years
spot	14	believe one of them was in West Kuiaha where
and	15	zoning occurred, another one was in Crater Road,
community	16	so forth. We need to make sure that the
very	17	is aware of this. It's you are it's a
updates.	18	sophisticated thing, these community plan
hoping	19	The public is not aware of it, and they are
	20	that you undertake this for them.
know	21	You might, for instance, feel that they
on	22	what's occurring and that they know what's going
	23	in their neighborhood because someone may have
this	24	represented the spot zoning, but in actuality
happened	25	may not have occurred at all. I know it's

PC 9/5/02

5

instance,	1 we	in my neighborhood. We understood, for
·	2	were going to have R-1 zoning, and we were
amazed to	3	find out that in actuality we were going to have
R-2	4	gare let line everlag impaged on our
neighborh	=	zero lot line overlay imposed on our
	5	which was very unexpected.
	6	The second item is final decision-making
should	7	sessions should occur in our community. We
should	8	hold these sessions and these voting sessions
	9	be held where the change and community plan
that	10	update change is occurring. Why we need to do
and	11	is that people are working one, two, three jobs,
	12	they for them to come down to the Council is
their	13	almost impossible for them to break away from
	14	work, organize child care, especially during the
got	15	summer when there's no school in session. We've
any	16	to make sure that we include the community in
	17	decision-making process. It's imperative.
	18	The people that are perhaps making these

plan	19	plans or changing these plans or updating the
here,	20	who have their lobbyists and their attorneys
afford	21	they're paid to be here, but the public can't
final	22	to leave their job. So we need to make these
that	23	voting decisions in our community, and I think
when	24	you'll experience a great amount of information
the	25	you make this final change. And it's part of

6		
you	1	plan. It's part of the general plan. It's how
	2	implement the plan and it's through the public
	3	participation, and I know that
	4	MR. BOTEILHO: Three minutes.
more we	5	MR. HOLTER: when you do this we'll have the
community	6	include the community in any change or any
	7	plan update, the less contested cases that we'll
	8	have, the less opportunity for complaint and
in	9	difficulty in understanding what's taking place
	10	your community. The it's imperative that the

	11	public be included, and real smart growth begins
decisions.	12	when you make community-based planning
decisions.		
	13	Thank you.
Lance,	14	CHAIR TAVARES: Any questions for the testifier?
	15	hold on. Ms. Johnson.
coming	16	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Yes, Lance, thank you for
	17	today. When notification is sent out to the
500	18	neighborhoods or the neighborhood members within
going	19	feet of wherever community plan amendment is
for	20	to be proposed, who do you believe should pay
	21	that notification?
	22	MR. HOLTER: In the case if it's an applicant, the
	23	applicant, it's their burden to notify the
	24	neighborhood. If it's in the case of a planning
	25	decision from the Planning Department, then it's

PC 9/5/02

their burden, I believe, to notify the neighborhood.

2 I believe the small expense involved in notifying

cheaper	3	the neighborhood with registered mail is far
where	4	than having to go and endure a contested case
	5	you have a whole community of aggrieved citizens
the	6	which have now found themselves in a battle for
	7	quality of life that they always understood was
you're	8	secure, and by notifying the neighborhood,
	9	going to find a lot simpler, more streamlined
	10	planning process as will result.
	11	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you.
up	12	CHAIR TAVARES: Lance, have you had a chance to pick
	13	the matrix?
	14	MR. HOLTER: Yes.
	15	CHAIR TAVARES: You have that?
	16	MR. HOLTER: I haven't had a chance to look at it. I
	17	really appreciate you doing that.
that.	18	CHAIR TAVARES: Yeah, I thank our Staff for doing
note	19	It makes it much easier to look at. You will
Department	20	on page 19 that we've expanded or the
include	21	has expanded the notification description to
scale	22	the location with a map drawn approximately to
TMK	23	with a street address so that it's not just a
on	24	that's noticed on there, and that also continues

8	PC	9/5/02
	1	that. And I know that at one point we were
itself	2	discussing having a sign put on the property
for	3	when it was that this property is considered
the	4	such and such so that there is more awareness in
	5	community where this property is.
community	6	MR. HOLTER: That's ideal, because I know in our
surprising	7	changes have occurred and it's it's
they	8	The people, when they receive these mail outs,
	9	think that it's already happened, that it's a
it's	10	finished product. They don't realize that now
contribute	11 to	their duty to come back and, you know,
been	12	the planning process. They think that they've
	13	notified that it's over with.
	14	CHAIR TAVARES: All right. Thank you.
	15	MR. HOLTER: Thank you.
	16	CHAIR TAVARES: Any other questions for Lance? Okay.

	17	Thank you, Lance.
the	18	Our next testifier is Ron McOmber, all
followed	19	way from our sister island of Lana'i, to be
wishes to	20	by Dick Mayer. If there's anyone else who
	21	offer some testimony, please come forward.
	22	MR. McOMBER: Good afternoon. My name is Ron McOmber.
	23	I'm from the island of Lana'i, and curtesy of me
	24	being here is because I just got off a Liquor
	25	Adjudication Board meeting, so

9	PC 9/5/02
	1 CHAIR TAVARES: We planned this meeting for that.
like	2 MR. McOMBER: Oh, I'm glad you did. Again, I'd also
went	3 to express a thank you for the matrix. We just
of	4 through the process the last three last month
kind	5 kind of prioritizing our community plan, which
	of brought into focus this plan that's been
	7 finalized we thought was finalized almost ten
	8 years ago, and we started to look at this as it
it	9 was as we were going through and prioritizing

things	10	how many things were already done, how many
	11	have been negated, that really didn't come to
at	12	fruition because the plan hadn't been accepted
	13	the time.
hear	14	And it's and I know you're going to
	15	this time and time again. The time limit is too
	16	long. You know that and I'll say it again. You
their	17	can't expect the public to come out and put
to	18	valuable time on the docket like this and then
developers	19	have it just basically trashed by the
people	20	Because that's what happens. They send the
saying,	21	there. They listen to what the community is
	22	and they start planning immediately what they're
	23	going to do with that land, and particularly I'm
	24	speaking about Lana'i in particular. We had
given	25	specific land designations and specific things

PC 9/5/02

10

to us through the development of Lana'i that we

community,	2	thought was going to come to benefit the
somewhere	3	and basically it's moved off to the side
negated	4	because the developer which owns the land
could	5	that by putting something else on it before we
	6	get the plan finished.
and	7	So no matter how you do it, Madam Chair,
speedy,	8	how you guys do this, it would have to be a
to	9	speedy process, meaning that you go from there
	10	the Planning Department or to the Council
	11	CHAIR TAVARES: Commission.
the	12	MR. McOMBER: I guess the Planning Department or
to	13	planning Lana'i Planning Commission and then
because	14	the Council in a very short amount of time,
community	15	it has nothing whatsoever to do with the
all	16	if the developer can jump in there and negate
can't	17	that. So please keep that in mind, and you
	18	ask the public to come out and participate if
	19	they're going to say, what did you come out for?
be a	20	And the other thing is there should not
	21	deadline for the CAC or whoever they are. They
fruition.	22	should go with the process all the way to

	23	There should be somebody, because we were told,
to	24	thank you very much, you no longer have anything
we	25	do with this, but some of us are persistent and

11	PC 9/5/02	
	1 follow the series all the way through, bu	t not
over	2 everybody did because everybody was told	it was
	3 with. So I think it's very valuable that	the
up to	4 community continue to follow the process	right
accepted.	5 and the time that the community plan is	
that	6 CHAIR TAVARES: In the revision in this revi	sion,
would	7 is what's provided for here is that the C	AC
the	8 stay intact until the plan is adopted. O	ne of
would	9 Charter amendments before the public this	year
	10 be to make the CAC permanent so they woul	d be
	11 monitoring it throughout its lifetime.	
	MR. McOMBER: And that's the way it should be,	because
public,	13 that's who's the most important are th	е
it's	and they're the ones that put their time	and

through	15	their community and they need to follow it
deny	16	and be the people that are there to okay it or
	17	it. So it's
	18	CHAIR TAVARES: Thank you.
speak,	19	MR. McOMBER: I appreciate that.
	20	CHAIR TAVARES: Thank you.
	21	MR. McOMBER: And again thank you for letting me
	22	and I'm sure I'll be before you again some other
	23	time.
	24	CHAIR TAVARES: Questions? Ms. Johnson.
	25	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Yes, Ron, thank you for coming

12	PC 9/5/02
	1 over, even though it was for something else. I
you	2 wanted to find out because you did say that
	<pre>were on the Citizen's Advisory Committee. MR. McOMBER: I was co-chair.</pre>
	5 COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: You were co-chair. One of the
people	6 things that we were hearing from a lot of the
what	7 that had served, you know, on CACs was exactly

this	8	you had stated, was they felt that there was
	9	disconnect and there was no continuity with what
out.	10	they had actually adopted and then what came
discussior	11 ns	What what also was the feeling in the
that	12	that you went through from any of the people
	13	you still maintain contact with on the CAC with
just	14	regard to the community plan being law or being
	15	a guideline?
that	16	MR. McOMBER: Well, we were told by several people
supposed	17	we expected it to be law. It was it was
at	18	to be a document that they were supposed to look
	19	if something came up in the community, that the
how	20	community plan was going to be looked at and see
be	21	that related to the development or the parcel to
	22	developed, and the reason that this is happening
Use	23	it's the same thing that we did with the Water
would	24	and Development Plan. We hoped that people
tool	25	look at the Water Use and Development Plan as a

at	1	to do the rest of the development. So you look
that's	2	that, how has that water been allocated, and
	3	what we're going to have before pretty soon
	4	before the Council, maybe next year.
	5	But we were hoping that the CAC, the
	6	community plan, was law, that you would have to
there	7	follow what the community then you go from
you	8	and whatever process it takes, but it's just
people	9	can't negate it. It was my feeling and the
	10	on the CAC, we thought that this was going to be
	11	law, and that's the way it should be, I think.
a	12	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: And along those same lines as
that	13	follow-up to what you just said, do you think
of	14	that is one of the primary reasons for this lack
wanting	15	participation on the part of the public for
things,	16	to come out again and participate in these
adhered	17	because they felt that these laws were not
not	18	to or that what their intent and purpose was was
	19	being served?
	20	MR. McOMBER: Most of the public does not understand

public	21	what's going on anyway. 90 percent of the
12,	22	has no idea. It's the same you see the same
	23	15, 18 people that are before you all the time.
	24	It's the same people. You don't see a cross
like	25	reference. The only time I saw an influx was

14	PC 9/5/02	
gobs of	1	the the vacation rentals, when you've got
the	2	people you've never seen before come out. But
it,	3	same people in every community, if you look at
	4	there's a nucleus of 10 or 12 people that know
	5	what's going on and nobody else in the community
	6	understands that.
people	7	And that's a bad part about choosing
	8	to put on the Planning Commission in small rural
and	9	areas, is that those people have to be educated
for	10	it takes about a year and a half to two years
community,	11	them to understand what's going on in the

politicall	12 Y	because mostly people never get involved
have	13	in anything, and it's really tough for us that
years	14	been going through this process for years and
through	15	to educate them. And so when the CAC was
tells	16	and when they when the Planning Department
end	17	you this is it, you're done, I mean, this is the
	18	of this, you're terminated, now it's going to go
	19	through the process, it's frustrating for people
meeting	20	like myself to go to the Planning Commission
CAC	21	and see the same people that came before us in a
they	22	with a whole different application than what
courtesy	23	showed us when they they didn't have the
or	24	to give us the same application or the same book
really	25	the same maps or whatever at the CAC. They

15	PC 9/5/02	
	1	did not take us serious.
had to	2	I think they took it as a process they

	3	go through, and then when it came before the
	4	Planning Commission, then they came out with the
	5	maps and they came out with all the drawings and
support	6	they came out with all the technical data to
	7	what they wanted to do on the island, and it's a
that	8	shame, because I think the CAC should command
come	9	respect from those people that you're asking to
	10	and give them your input on what do you think is
happen	11	going to happen in your community, and didn't
now,	12	in this last round, I can tell you that right
	13	not on Lana'i anyway.
	14	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Well, that's what I think that
more	15	Chair Tavares is trying to achieve, is bringing
least	16	of those people in and empowering them to at
So	17	feel that they are still playing an active role.
suggestion	18 ns	I really hope that you can give us some
	19	too.
folks	20	MR. McOMBER: I really appreciate the process you
Chairman.	21 I	are going through, and particularly the
not	22	think she's done a great job. Because this is
	23	an easy this is not an easy thing to do. I'm
	24	sure you've been hit and beat up and beat on and

everything else, but for the part of the community

16	PC S	9/5/02
	1	that needs to participate and you're asking to
to	2	participate and then saying good-bye is not fair
soon	3	the community, because you're to get pretty
going to	4	you're going to get complacency and they're
	5	say, what the hell am I doing here? So I really
teeth to	6	believe that they you've got to get some
	7	it.
	8	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you very much, Ron.
Ron?	9	CHAIR TAVARES: Thank you. Any other questions for
	10	Mr. Arakawa.
You	11	COUNCILMEMBER ARAKAWA: One just short question, Ron.
and	12	know, if you want the community plan to be law
think	13	there are zoning issues that come up, do you
	14	that zoning should be automatic following the
	15	passage of the community plan? Whatever the
	16	direction is in the community plan, if we say we

the	17	want something in this area, we should create
	18	possibility to have it actuated?
	19	MR. McOMBER: See, that's what we asked when we went
	20	through and we asked Bill, our person from the
into	21	County, that if we do this, are we going to run
	22	a zoning problem? And most of the time he said,
something	23	yeah, I think you will. So maybe there's
those	24	we need to address in that. Because we asked
we'd	25	questions before we actually sat down and said

	1	like to see this done here.
	2	COUNCILMEMBER ARAKAWA: And I'm asking you, in your
	3	opinion, do you think we should do that?
the	4	MR. McOMBER: I think we should, because I think with
are	5	guidance of the people that know what the laws
what's	6	and the applicable laws that go with it and
should,	7	the consequences of that, yes, I think you
	8	because they sit there and make that decision.

that	9	COUNCILMEMBER ARAKAWA: Okay. Because in the process
	10	we had, we had the CA the Citizen's Advisory
	11	Committee, the Planning Department, Planning
advisors	12	Commission, the Council, and all the other
at	13	looking at the plan at one time, or supposedly
	14	one time.
	15	MR. McOMBER: Supposedly.
similar	16	COUNCILMEMBER ARAKAWA: And if we were to do that,
a	17	to that, it would be the most intense review of
organizati	18 ons as	single piece of property by as many
you	19	possible, and if we're going to make it law, as
	20	stated, then that's why I've been asking your
just	21	opinion as to whether you would be in favor of
other	22	creating the opportunity to make it real, in
whatever	23	words, if we have to do zoning, zone it, or
whatever can be	23	words, if we have to do zoning, zone it, or action needs to be done to make it so that it

the	1	MR. McOMBER: If your community sits there and spends
way,	2	time and says I want my community to look this
if	3	I think you should take that very serious, and
and	4	that's what it takes to make a law to do that
that's	5	have them have the power to change that, then
developer	6	what it should be, because without that, a
	7	can come in and do whatever he wants and negate
	8	that. And we saw that on Lana'i already. There
what	9	were parcels that should have been reserved for
	10	we asked it to be reserved for.
	11	COUNCILMEMBER ARAKAWA: Okay.
	12	MR. McOMBER: Okay.
	13	COUNCILMEMBER ARAKAWA: Thank you, Ron.
	14	MR. McOMBER: Thank you.
Thank	15	CHAIR TAVARES: Thank you. Any other questions?
	16	you, Mr. McOmber.
	17	MR. McOMBER: Thank you.
	18	CHAIR TAVARES: I'd like to recognize the presence of
	19	Councilmember Hokama. Thank you, Riki.
	20	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Thank you.
Sean	21	CHAIR TAVARES: Okay. Dick Mayer will be followed by
	22	McLaughlin.
Mayer.	23	MR. MAYER: Thank you, Charmaine. My name is Dick

	24	I'd	like	e to	addre	ess	severa	al	issues	tha	t relate
to											
	25	the	new	plar	nning	pro	cess,	а	couple	of	technical

19	PC 9/5/02	
plan	1	things and then a more general thing. In the
you	2	it talks about growth boundaries. I would urge
	3	to also include in there community boundaries.
	4	People in Maui will take a much more interest in
community	5	their own community if they know in what
	6	they live.
between	7	For example, where's the borderline
	8	Makawao and Pukalani? Where's the borderline
Maui	9	between Kihei and Wailea? We talk about South
have	10	in a general area, but I think what we need to
	11	is actual community boundaries separate from the
the	12	idea of growth boundaries. Growth boundaries is
an	13	planning thing, but the plan should also include
	14	area so that people know in which community they
they	15	live and to what the community organization

	16	might belong to is, et cetera.
120	17	The time limits in the plan talk about
the	18	days for the community plan to be developed by
	19	community. I believe that's much too short. It
	20	also calls for seven public hearings during that
little	21	period of time. That's going to leave very
that's	22	time for them to do the meaningful discussion
	23	needed to develop the proper plan. The Planning
and	24	Commission apparently has no time limits on it
	25	the Council takes, as we know, several years

20	PC 9/5/02	
	1	sometimes to get the final product done.
	2	So I would like to see the community plan
	3	the community groups, the advisory groups being
	4	given more than 120 days, probably at least 180
even	5	days, or maybe as it was in the past I think
	6	eight or nine months to come up with the plan so
and	7	that we can do it right and get the proper input
	8	have the proper discussions. It takes that long

the	9	just to gear up the committee so they understand
	10	parameters.
	11	The term island-wide is used here, and I
important	12	would remind us that the reason why that's
that	13	is on this island we have six community plans
and	14	probably need some kind of integration. Lana'i
same.	15	Moloka'i, the community and the island are the
work	16	I think you're creating a whole bunch of extra
be an	17	for a lot of people by saying that this should
and	18	island-wide plan and a community plan for Lana'i
	19	Moloka'i. I would urge you to integrate the
island	20	benefits of whatever you think will be in the
islands	21	plan into the community plans on those two
	22	and let that small those small islands with a
	23	lower number of population, people all know each
plan	24	other, they can probably come up with one good
	25	rather than having two separate layers.

plan	1	I'm concerned also that the island-wide
F	2	may have an effect that's not really being
	3	considered, and that is it may usurp some of the
domain.	4	things that the communities now think their
plans	5	I know the idea is to integrate and to get the
a	6	to work together, but I could see this becoming
	7	little bit of a question of home rule, to what
	8	degree do the people of South Maui or Kahului or
right	9	Wailuku or whatever the area might be have the
that	10	to determine their own community as being told
pattern	11	they have to follow within some island-wide
or	12	and that's where all the people are going to go
	13	that's where all the shopping is going to go,
	14	whatever. We have to be very careful of the
the	15	relationship between the island-wide plan and
	16	particular community plan.
is	17	The last item that I want to talk about
	18	something that I
	19	MR. BOTEILHO: Three minutes.
the	20	MR. MAYER: I'd like to come back for three minutes at
	21	end.
	22	CHAIR TAVARES: Okay. Thank you, Dick.
	23	MR. MAYER: Thank you very much.

	24	CHAIR	TAVARES:	Okay.	Mr.	McLaughlin,	and Mr.
McLaughlin							
	25		is the las	st perso	on to	o sign up.	If there's
anyone							

22	PC 9/5/02	
come	1 else who would like to offer testimony, pleas	se
	2 forward, and also I would like to recognize	
	3 Councilmember Nishiki. Thank you, Wayne.	
	4 Go ahead, Sean.	
the	5 MR. McLAUGHLIN: Aloha, Chair Tavares and members	of
	6 Planning Committee. I'm here just on my own	
	7 testifying as an individual, although I did :	feel
of	8 like I went to school a little bit as a member	er
same	9 the Charter Commission and hearing a lot of	the
really	issues that you're trying to address here.	I
offer my	just stopped by to see how you're doing and	
	moral support. I think this is such a critic	cal,
	important area.	
that	To me, a lot of the division and anxie	ety
	15 you that you address on a day-to-day basis	s in

	16	terms of land use, water allocations, and a lot
	17	transportation, all these huge quality of life
	18	issues that you're dealing with on the ground
really		
	19	in kind of a micro level, if you get this right,
the		
	20	rest of your life is going to be so much better
and		
	21	easier. Because the rifts in our community are
	22	around what we do we have a shared vision?
Is		
	23	the community really behind a coherent plan that
	24	we've all bought into?
	25	And I've been personally involved in a
lot of		

1 environmental	discussions about this, on both the
2 know	side and the development side, just saying you
3	what, if we all love the general plan, then the
4 either	fringes of the group there's extremes in
5	end of the community, the fringes start falling
6 consensus in	away, because really we have a lot more
7 because	our community than we probably realize, but

trying	8	we don't have this coherent set that you're
know	9	to work on so anyway, I know you probably
and	10	all this. I just wanted to try to verbalize it
deal in	11	affirm it for you as someone who's trying to
	12	the community kind of as you are.
	13	And I have three small observations or
talking	14	comments to make about parts of what you're
	15	about. The first is, obviously, the public
under	16	participation element that you've described
important,	17	technical plans and studies is so very
	18	and I just had this realization after the Smart
where	19	Growth Conference that the oohs and ahs and
was	20	the whole group kind of had this energy going
had	21	around the mapping and the visualizing. And we
	22	this amazing opportunity with GIS and the high
	23	technology of the super computing, modeling that
Remember	24	shows you and here's what this looks like.
	25	that, those of you that were there? And it was

to	1	like, wow, and you didn't have to be an expert
wanted.	2	get what kind of vision for the future you
	3	So I think the public participation supported by
	4	sort of the new technology, the mapping, the
these	5	modeling, the extent you can integrate that in
	6	public discussions I think is really going to
	7	advance us amazingly. And so I encourage your
	8	adoption of those media and technologies in that
	9	public participation process.
	10	Under the socioeconomic forecast section,
to	11	under that same technical studies, I was hoping
sector.	12	see a little more about nonprofit service
	13	There are a lot of nonprofit needs that can be
I	14	projected. There are areas that need services.
	15	think the only one I really saw identified was
of	16	health care, but there are a lot of other kinds
	17	social services and human services that probably
one	18	need to be mapped into a plan. If you only have
for	19	homeless shelter on the island, that's an issue
there	20	the whole for the plan, as an example, but
service	21	are a lot of other examples in the nonprofit

	22	sector.
near	23	And finally, another small note that's
water,	24	and dear to my heart under infrastructure,
you	25	and public facilities assessment, I hope that

25	PC 9/5	/02
basic	1	will include telecommunications as one of the
	2	core infrastructures that's going to impact our
	3	long-term success as a community. So it may be
but	4	imbedded and implied under public facilities,
	5	you have a lot to say about requiring easements,
easy	6	conduits, and access that are going to make it
We	7	for new providers to come into the marketplace.
	8	all get real excited about new technology, but I
	9	tell you what
	10 M	R. BOTEILHO: Three minutes.
	11 M	R. McLAUGHLIN: I can finish in about 30 seconds.
	12 0	HAIR TAVARES: Okay.
going to	13 M	IR. McLAUGHLIN: Not all of the new technology is

look	14	be available everywhere. In the industry you
income	15	at two issues, red lining, that is some low
then	16	areas are not going to be equitably served, and
	17	cream skimming, that is other areas are going to
supercalif	18 Fragilisticex	have super fan pialidocious
role	19	telecom services, and I think there is a proper
policy	20	in the planning to say as a matter of public
	21	you want we want equitable communications
you	22	infrastructure available Countywide. So thank
	23	very much for this opportunity.
Sean?	24 CHAIF	TAVARES: Thank you, Sean. Any questions for
they	25	Is that the digital divide or something that
		RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS, INC. (808) 524-2090

	1	talk about?
	2	MR. McLAUGHLIN: Yes.
or	3	CHAIR TAVARES: Yeah, thank you. Any other questions
т	4	comments for Sean? Thank you very much, Sean.

Ι

26

to	5	especially appreciate your moral support. And
	6	finish up his testimony, Mr. Dick Mayer.
finished	7	MR. MAYER: Thank you very much. I wish Sean had
and	8	up, because he ended up on a very positive note
positive.	9	unfortunately mine's not going to be so
this	10	I'd like to scold the Council for what happened
Committee	11	morning. This morning at the Public Works
	12	chaired by Councilmember Mike Molina you voted
clear.	13	against the Upcountry Community Plan. It was
vote	14	Many questions were asked about it, and yet the
	15	was unanimous seven to nothing against the plan.
I'm	16	The community plan Upcountry calls for and
be	17	saying this on TV because I want the public to
given	18	aware of it called for first priority to be
	19	to Hawaiians, Hawaiian homeland areas, and to
	20	agriculture for the use of water Upcountry.
	21	An ordinance was passed this morning or a
with	22	rule was passed in Committee saying the hell
that	23	the plan. We're going to use the priority list
allocate	24	was established by the Water Department to
	25	water, completely against the wording in the

27	PC 9/5/02	
Committee	1	Upcountry plan. So as you talk in this
have	2	about the planning process and improving it, we
	3	to find some way to make sure that the plan is
	4	followed.
or	5	So often we hear that it's the John Min
was	6	the Planning Department that's at fault. This
was	7	something at the Council level where the plan
at	8	not followed, and if we can't follow the plans
	9	this level, how can we expect the Civil Service
plan	10	staff and the various departments to follow the
	11	when they see at the very top level it's not be
	12	being followed?
	13	I urge you strongly do what you can to
for	14	enforce the plans that we have. Citizens worked
You	15	months. Planning Commission worked for months.
	16	folks worked for months and sometimes years on
violating	17	adopting these plans and then knowingly

figure	18	the planning. I think what we need to do is
Thank	19	out how we're not going to let that happen.
but	20	you very much. Sorry to end on a negative note,
	21	I think that needed to be said.
this	22 CHAII	R TAVARES: Okay. I don't want to debate about
references	23	item. It's not in this Committee. The
	24	to
	25 MR. N	MAYER: The process.

community	1 CHAI	IR TAVARES: the process and the fact that
	2	plans are not being enforced, and I know we've
	3	talked about this and we've heard in all of the
problem	4	communities we've gone to that part of the
we	5	is some of the plans are unenforceable, and if
at in	6	look at you know, we could go back and look
action	7	the community plan what was the implementing
with	8	for that particular policy and see where we are

frustratio	9 on	it. And, you know, I appreciate your
think	10	about it, and I did share that part way and I
shared	11	some other members, like Mr. Arakawa, also
	12	that.
that	13	So, you know, I don't want to get into
know	14	because it was the other meeting, and also I
have	15	you've participated with us all along and you
that	16	had excellent suggestions, and I hope you see
	17	we've incorporated a lot of your recommendations
very	18	into this document, because you knew were
I	19	dear to heart and you spent many, many hours, so
is	20	appreciate your input, and your continued input
	21	important for us.
and I	22 MR.	MAYER: Thank you, and I appreciate the comments
	23	think you've done a lot. This measure will go a
problems,	24	long way towards correcting a lot of the
how	25	but in the end we still have to ask the question

can	1	do we make sure the plan is enforced? And we
	2	have all the guidelines, all the rules, all the
properly,	3	great plans, but if they're not enforced
	4	it's all in vain.
	5	CHAIR TAVARES: Did you have a question for Mr. Mayer?
	6	COUNCILMEMBER ARAKAWA: Yes.
	7	CHAIR TAVARES: Go ahead, Mr. Arakawa.
I	8	COUNCILMEMBER ARAKAWA: Pretty much the same question
In	9	asked our great illustrious planner from Lana'i.
	10	doing this the planning, a lot of times, you
	11	know, there was a lot of confusion about whether
	12	there should be force of law or this should be a
your	13	strong suggestion as to direction. Now, I want
we	14	opinion on whether when we have properties that
in	15	want going to a certain zone and we so indicated
automatica	16 ally	the community plan, whether we should
	17	zone that or not.
perhaps	18	MR. MAYER: I think that the plan brought and
plans	19	you can give guidance to those developing the
see	20	below so that when they come to you, you will
Planning	21	what part the Citizen Advisory Group, the

would	22	Commission would like to see in law and which
Every	23	be more broad, more general recommendations.
	24	word in the plan may not have exactly the same
	25	level, and that's why we sometimes use the word

30	PC 9/5/02	
cases	1	recommends or suggests this or that. In other
X	2	we say no construction should take place within
	3	number of feet of a school or something of that
clearly if	4	sort, in which case that would it says
against	5	nothing this should not happen or it's
law.	6	the law to happen, so in that part the plan is
should	7	In other places it recommends that we
	8	try to make access for the handicapped more
	9	accessible. That doesn't mean that every single
to	10	intersection, every place automatically is going
to	11	be done, but that's the direction we would want
	12	go. So I think that it's both, and the plan can
	13	indicate in its verbiage what's law and what's

31	PC 9/5/02			
a	1 that so that we can actuate that and there's not			
too	whole lot of possibility to then try and deviate			
	3 much of what the final plan is?			
to	4 MR. MAYER: I would think so. My preference would be			
if	5 be more definite, rather than less definite, and			
zoning,	6 it means actually going ahead and doing the			

recommend	7	again, a plan may say actually in it we
	8	that the following area within an urban growth
	9	boundary be immediately zoned this way. Areas
in	10	outside that area we recommend that that be kept
	11	come hind of recorns that this is our general
	11	some kind of reserve, that this is our general
	12	intent. In other words, the plan itself could
where it	13	indicate where it wants the zoning done and
	14	should be done immediately, and that might be an
	15	important thing to say.
asking	16	COUNCILMEMBER ARAKAWA: Okay. And again, I'm just
	17	for guidance, because in a lot of the discussion
	18	that we've heard, or I've heard, there's this
plan,	19	confusion that, you know, once we adopt the
this	20	then we go about and try and change a lot of
find a	21	and it doesn't become law, and I'm trying to
	22	way to make it law. By actually doing the
we	23	compliance part of it right up front, I believe
	24	get it to where we want it, which is law.
	25	MR. MAYER: I would like it that way generally.

1	COUNCILMEMBER ARAKAWA: Okay. Thank you.
2	CHAIR TAVARES: Ms. Johnson.
3	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Yes, Dick, on your earlier
4 days	testimony when you were talking about the 120
5 feel	and seven meetings being too short, what do you
6 let	would be a reasonable period of time in order to
7	the Citizen's Advisory Committees go through the
8	initial go around?
9 approximately,	MR. MAYER: Probably around seven months, a
10	little bit longer. Six months I think was
11 the	there's one difference between this cycle and
12 the	last cycle. In the last cycle the community
13	Citizen Advisory had to develop the plan from
14	scratch and do the whole thing. Now it changes.
15 Advisory	The Planning Director presents the Citizen
16 don't	with a plan. It's not something I like and I
17 but	think many people in the community like that,
18	that's the way the rules say right now. So that
19 because	short circuits a lot of the initial work,
20	now we're reacting to a draft essentially.
21	Given that, I don't think it has to be

very	22	as long as it was last time, but I know at the
happened	23	end we rushed to get things done, and what
individual	24	was we ended up making decisions about
had	25	parcels, this is good, this is bad, but we never

33	PC 9/5/02	
overall	1	the time to go back and look at what is the
	2	effect of what we have done, and that never
how	3	happened, and that's unfortunate with regard to
residential	4 or	much land do we put into this area of
	5	light industrial or whatever it might be.
month,	6	So I think I'd rather err on an extra
	7	rather than short changing the citizen's group,
don't	8	because these are people who come together who
each	9	know each other and then have to get a feel for
maps	10	other, have to have the meetings, have to get
	11	prepared. 120 days I think is much too short.
	12 COUNC	CILMEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. And then that would
	13	essentially mean that it would be one meeting a

	14	month, you know, for the seven-month period.
	15	MR. MAYER: Well, it could be two meetings a month,
they	16	because that's the seven public meetings that
	17	have to have with the public.
saying.	18	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. I see what you're
public	19	MR. MAYER: And then, as you know, you hold your
	20	meetings and then you have to have time for
	21	discussion, and we'll have people coming up just
people	22	like you have, we're allowed to have as many
they	23	who want to testify to the group as possible,
minutes,	24	have to be each given their whatever three
really	25	whatever we decide would be it. So we won't

34	PC 9/5/02	
the	1	get into the decision-making mode until after
that	2	seven meetings, and if you do that try to do
process,	3	in 120 days, we're not going to have a good
	4	I think.
	5 COUNG	CILMEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. Well, in line with what

months,	6	you're saying, then, instead of doing seven
	7	would eight months
the	8	MR. MAYER: That would be my preference, something in
	9	order of eight months, and then of course the
disbanded	10	commission the committee would not be
process	11	but would be allowed to continue through the
Council to	12	with the Planning Commission and into the
	13	represent the community and its ideas. So in a
	14	sense even though their plan is presented after
resonate	15	eight months, they would have a chance to
with	16	back and forth with the Planning Commission and
	17	the Planning Director and with the Council.
	18	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: And I think if I'm hearing you
going	19	properly, what you're basically saying is that
most	20	into the process, that is perhaps one of the
phase	21	critical times is during that initial planning
maybe	22	and discussion phase. Therefore, we should
	23	weight that more heavily with the citizen
	24	participation at that level.
	25	MR. MAYER: I think so.

21 irregardless of the current status of the parcels or

about the zoning, and I would be assuming -- is

20

this

	22	are you more concerned about the interim zoned
zoning	23	properties that would go for comprehensive
	24	after a community plan revision or any zoned
	25	property would be comprehensively rezoned?

	1	MR. MAYER: My preference would be comprehensively
	2	rezoned. The problem, of course, is the legal
a	3	question are you doing the taking. Somebody has
apartment	4	parcel that's urban and set aside to be
that	5	housing and now the plan comes along and says
	6	should be agriculture or whatever, and is that a
have	7	taking? Is it legal? And that's where you'll
have.	8	to rely on your lawyers to see what rights you
	9	My long-term preference is and this is
	10	something I was on the Planning Commission 30
sometimes	11	years ago, over 30 years ago now, is that
intensive	12	we up zoned a piece of property, a more
windfall	13	use and a landowner would immediately get a

the	14	of millions of dollars. Well, that money that
County	15	landowner might get is something I think the
property	16	can either tax somewhere in the form of the
tax	17	tax, increase value or something in its property
to	18	thing. If you allow this piece of property now
hotel	19	be worth \$5 million more because you gave it a
back	20	or apartment, that money could be used to buy
	21	areas that you want to down zone.
right	22	In other words, if the County has the
	23	to up zone and make money, they should have the
to	24	ability to capture some of that gain to be used
zone	25	down zone. Because very often when you down

37	PC 9/5/02	
	1	you actually increase the value of some other
	2	properties around it by making it a green space
or a		
	3	park or a church or whatever it might be. So I
	4	think that the County should investigate the
ability		

	5	both to up zone and to down zone land and to use
	6	that fund that way. It could be used for buying
	7	open space, parks.
would	8	For example, if you allow a on Maui
in	9	be a useful example, if you allow a hotel to go
and	10	in an area, it might overcrowd beaches nearby,
that	11	wouldn't it be nice to take some of the money
up	12	you made by allowing that hotel to go in to buy
	13	park land around an adjoining beach or something
	14	like that? That would enhance the value to that
goes in	15	hotel of having an area so not another hotel
9	16	next door. So there's some real win-win for the
	17	property owners as well by using that kind of a
	18	concept.
world	19	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: And I would say in a perfect
would	20	I can see it happening, Dick, and most people
I	21	say fine, until it comes down to their property.
	22	mean, let's be real about it.
	23	MR. MAYER: No, and I am real. I think if I own a
zoned	24	piece of property and somehow it's been down
worth,	25	but in the process now it's gone from being

38	PC 9/	5/02
	1	let's say, 2 million down to where it's 100,000,
if	2	the County were to come along and say to me as
the	3	landowner you're going to be given \$2 million
for	4	this because just like eminent domain, it's a
	5	need by the community to make for a better
	6	community, then we have this to me it's like
the	7	eminent domain. It's taking for the benefit of
used	8	larger community. Eminent domain shouldn't be
for	9	just to build a road. It should be used to make
	10	a better community. So, yeah, I think what we
If	11	should try to work for is a more perfect world.
	12	we don't have it now, let's do what we can as
	13	legislators to do that. That's what you're
	14	representing us to do.
to	15	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Yeah, and again, it comes down
what	16	when you're talking about whose property and
that	17	I can see if you're talking about ceded lands,
	18	might not be the way it's going to turn out.
automatic	19	MR. MAYER: I'm not saying everything will be

the	20	and legal. Obviously it will have to be within
	21	law. It will have to be legal, but I think we
can	22	should stretch our mind a bit and to think how
	23	we do this thing that is still legal. And it
always	24	doesn't always mean we do it the way we've
you	25	done it for the past 50 years. This community,

39	PC 9/5/02	
it	1	know, in the past when we had 35,000 people, as
a	2	had when I moved to the island, you know, we had
	3	lot of place to knock around. Now we've got
If	4	probably on this island today 190,000 people.
the	5	you count the people who are in second homes,
	6	tourists, the residents, the surfers and all the
counted	7	other people who are here who aren't being
at	8	by the census, we're up to 190,000. Just look
did	9	the traffic. We can't make decisions the way we
to	10	X years ago. We now have to be looking at ways

	11	make sure this community can handle it.
	12	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Thank you, Dick.
	13	MR. MAYER: Thank you very much for listening.
	14	CHAIR TAVARES: Thank you. You give us much food for
	15	thought.
	16	MR. MAYER: I hope we can eat it and digest it.
and	17	CHAIR TAVARES: Thank you. Mr. Vanderbilt, go ahead
and	1.0	
	18	testify first and then you can sign up after.
of	19	MR. VANDERBILT: Thank you, Madam Chairman and members
	20	the Planning Committee. Charmaine asked me the
	21	other day if I had seen the planning matrix and
I		
	22	really haven't, but I just had some general
	23	comments, and one that was
	24	CHAIR TAVARES: Just state your name for the record,
	25	please.
		RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS, INC. (808) 524-2090

1	MR. VANDERBILT: Oh, my name is DeGray Vanderbilt from
2	Moloka'i.
3	CHAIR TAVARES: Thank you.
4	MR. VANDERBILT: Where we were given ten minutes to
5	testify. Nah, nah. I'm just kidding. No, but

PC 9/5/02

40

one

	6	of the things that really concerned me after the
	7	last round of community plan revisions where the
the	8	community that's their community plans, so
that	9	community should be the high priority was
	10	the 180-day period for the CACs to hold meetings
be	11	throughout their communities, and Moloka'i might
	12	a more spread out community than some, but it's
there's	13	was reduced from 180 days to 60 days and now
minimum of	14 E	some talk about 120 days. It should be a
	15	160 days. We had 21 meetings in a hundred and I
but	16	don't know how many days. We got an extension,
before	17	we had 21 meetings on the CAC and 17 meetings
	18	our Planning Commission and they were all well
	19	attended and they were in different parts of the
decision	20	island, and many times we couldn't make a
certain	21	here or there because we weren't able to get
	22	information too.
	23	I know in the latest round of Charter
that	24	amendments the Planning Department is asking
	25	the Council have 180 days for community plan or

41	PC 9/5/02	
а	1	zoning changes or whatever and if you don't make
lot	2	decision, it becomes law or something. Well, a
were, of	3	of times you're at the mercy, and I know we
	4	when we asked for things it takes a while to get
doesn't	5	information there. So the community just
them,	6	hold these meetings for the sake of holding
think	7	and it's a very important document. And so I
	8	the 180 days is an important thing.
the	9	And then there ought to be something for
through	10	developers that just hang back and don't go
that	11	the process. On Moloka'i we had one developer
golf	12	hung back on several major projects, a major
on a	13	course project. They just withheld information
	14	major subdivision and a lot of other things, and
causes	15	then they come in after the fact and it just
kind	16	all kinds of havoc. So there ought to be some
just	17	of for community plan amendments that they

	18	shouldn't be allowed just to come in any old
time.		
	19	And as far as down zoning or whatever, I
know		
	20	we tried to do that at the Kaluakoi Resort, and
even		
CE	21	with the down-zoned hotels left there, that at
65		
tourists	22	percent occupancy we could handle 500,000
COULISCS		
do	23	and we only handle 66,000, but that thing didn't
90		
about.	24	through. And then when Dick Mayer was talking
about		
	25	down zoning sometimes can add more value to the

42	PC 9/5/02	
	1	property, we tried to put a 600-foot open space
end	2	buffer around the undeveloped lands on the west
We	3	of Moloka'i. We didn't see that as a taking.
about	4	saw that as a way to show that Moloka'i cared
plan	5	its environment. It was following the general
	6	that said increase setbacks.
	7	Our SMA was only a few feet from the
	8	conservation district, so we didn't have any

if	9	protection there, and we thought that, you know,
	10	everybody built 600 feet back, you had this nice
is	11	buffer zone that's as I like to say, 600 feet
not	12	once around the bases and back to third. It's
farther	13	that far. Some people like to make it sound
	14	by saying it's two football fields, which sounds
acting	15	more, but anyway, it's and that we were
plan,	16	on implementing directions from the general
work.	17	and that's the way the process is supposed to
for	18	The general plan sets out general visions
to	19	the County and then the communities are supposed
	20	refine those so they apply more detail to their
	21	community, and that's what we try to do. And so
if	22	that's that's another thing that I don't know
	23	that's considered a down zoning or whatever, but
	24	and then there was another property on Moloka'i
just	25	which was the Junior Roping Club that the Ranch

down	1	claimed if that got down zoned from light
	2	designated from light industrial to park it was
yet 60	3	going to cause an economic collapse for them,
buy	4	days later they went out and spent 15 million to
wouldn't	5	two major properties on Moloka'i, but it
it,	6	have because the County would have had to buy
\$27,000 ar	7	just like it bought their ball fields for
	8	acre. I doubt if any buyer fair market
	9	MR. BOTEILHO: Six minutes.
	10	MR. VANDERBILT: Oh, six minutes, okay. Well, anyway,
six	11	gee, my favorite time must be somewhere between
time,	12	and ten. I didn't even make ten minutes last
	13	but anyway, that's some of the things, but the
	14	community in this whole planning process, the
	15	community side of it isn't broken and they're
that	16	dedicated, and so I would do everything else
think	17	you can to give them a legitimate time, and I
if	18	180 days with a chance to extend it a little bit
	19	needed, if they're doing their homework and have
game.	20	timely meetings, that should be a fair ball
	21	So anyway, that's just a few comments.
	22	CHAIR TAVARES: Thank you very much, DeGray. Any

23	questions for DeGray? Ms. Johnson.
24	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: DeGray, earlier and I don't
25	know if you were here or not when Mr. Mayer was

44	PC	9/5/02
citizens	1	talking about the length of time that the
ask	2	had to review. I asked him a question and I'll
on in	3	you the same thing. Do you believe that early
	4	that process that it is more critical during the
	5	citizen's involvement with formulating what they
larger	6	want to see go out for consideration to the
critical	7	community? Do you believe that's a more
about	8	time and needs more time? And we were talking
	9	maybe eight months.
	10	MR. VANDERBILT: I'm sorry, I didn't understand
	11	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. Early on in the process
more	12	Mr. Mayer was saying that he believed it was
	13	critical for the citizens to be involved in that
and	14	early phase where all the planning is going on

the	15	there's more discussion and the formulation of
the	16	actual community plans and addressing a lot of
	17	issues that may be of particular concern to the
	18	community.
	19	MR. VANDERBILT: Was that during the CAC review?
initial	20	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: That would be during the
	21	phase of that CAC review. He felt that was more
	22	critical, and it kind of is going along with you
that	23	saying that, yeah, the citizens need more time,
	24	the 180 days would be the minimum. What we were
Would	25	talking about at that point was eight months.

45	
view?	1 that be something that would be better in your
	2 MR. VANDERBILT: Well, I think at least at least
then	3 that's the time when we had the most input, and
	4 it goes to the Planning Department and they put
Commission	5 their input and then it goes to Planning
Planning	6 to see if they want to go along with the

add	7	Department or stick with what the CAC said or
Planning	8	something else to it, but by the time the
	9	Commission gets it, there's a lot of backup
So	10	material, but you get a lot of community input.
of	11	like I said, 180 days with a chance for a couple
	12	month extension like we did, as long as the
	13	communities are moving forward, but we had 21
	14	meetings in 207 I don't know, it was a lot of
month.	15	meetings, though. It was three three a
	16	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Right, well, I think you're
	17	essentially
	18	MR. VANDERBILT: I agree with Dick.
saying	19	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: I think you're essentially
need	20	the same thing that he's saying, is that we do
	21	that time going in, a little bit more time.
	22	MR. VANDERBILT: Right, right.
	23	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you.
earlier	24	CHAIR TAVARES: Thank you. And I'm sorry our two
	25	testifiers left. Thank you, DeGray.

	1		END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY
if	2	CHAIR	TAVARES: Because what I wanted to say was that
look	3		you've picked up a matrix and you have time to
look at	4		at it more in detail and taking your time to
regarding	5		it, please feel free to send any comments
the	6		sections of the matrix to us. Don't wait until
that	7		next time this is scheduled for the meeting, so
considerat	8 ion		we can take some of those ideas into
Committee	9		and start some internal work amongst the
you	10		members. So I invite everyone to do that. If
	11		need a copy of the matrix, please contact our
sure	12		Council Services Office, Wayne Boteilho. I'm
anyone	13		he'll be happy to send one send one out to
please	14		who might be interested in commenting, and
_	15		feel free to duplicate it for other members of
	16		Dick, you know, the Kihei Community Association
	17		people, some have been active and would probably
	18		like to look at that.
	19		And I would really want to I really
what's	20		appreciate having the input, because this is

with	21	got us to this point, is having those meetings
members	22	the communities, and many, many former CAC
some	23	attended those meetings and they had, you know,
not	24	wonderful input from, you know, practicalists,
system	25	the theorists. I mean they went through the

47	PC 9/5/02	
of	1	and they suffered through it and knew what some
	2	the pitfalls were, and that's the kind of
	3	information I think is real valuable to both
	4	Administration and to the Council. So I really
	5	appreciate that input from especially the two of
so	6	you, because you've had experience on the CAC,
	7	that's great.
any	8	Members, at this time did any of you have
and	9	areas that you wanted to point to in this matrix
	10	discuss something about it or want additional
	11	information or backup that maybe Mr. Miskae can
	12	provide for you at this time? Ms. Johnson.

down,	13	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: I had a few notes written
from	14	but one of the things that I did make a note
	15	last time, and I know that this was one of the
the	16	things that we had discussed, it's on page 3 of
	17	matrix.
	18	CHAIR TAVARES: Yes.
had	19	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: And it's down under B, where I
projected	20	highlighted, "based on the distribution of
County."	21	population and economic growth within the
that	22	And I had made a note to myself to just delete
about	23	section because I think there was some concern
	24	that particular the reasoning why we're doing
entirety.	25	that and just strike that language in its
		RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS, INC.

1 CHAIR TAVARES: So the growth patterns for 20 year
2 planning period should be based on what?
3 COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Well, it just says,
"management
4 and directed growth plan, a description of existing

PC 9/5/02

48

for	5	and future land use patterns and planned growth
the	6	the 20-year planning period," and then leave out
	7	base part, because ostensively what we would be
we	8	doing is we would be basing it on whatever data
gathered.	9	had gathered or the Citizen's Advisory had
of	10	So if they're looking at all the various types
capacity	11	data that you know, could be carrying
	12	studies, it could be our resource availability,
	13	whatever it might be.
that	14	CHAIR TAVARES: You're saying to leave it general so
	15	we're not specifically
not	16	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Just leave it general so we're
	17	pigeon holed into that one thing.
the	18	CHAIR TAVARES: Okay. So we would look at deleting
	19	section starting with the word "based"?
	20	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: "Based," yes.
	21	CHAIR TAVARES: Okay.
much	22	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Because I think there is so
	23	more than just looking at the distribution of
know.	24	projected population and economic growth, you
want	25	You know, it's other factors. Maybe we don't

49	10 3,0,02	
want to	1	how we anticipate growth to occur. Maybe we
growth	2	constrain growth in ways through our urban
densities	3 in	boundaries or maybe we want to increase
wagging	4	some areas. So that's sort of like the tail
we	5	the dog. You know, if we're going to plan, then
control	6	also need to maintain a certain degree of
	7	over that. So that would be my suggestion, and
additional	8 L	leave it more open. If somebody else has
just	9	wording that they'd want to work on, I would
this	10	like to get that section deleted, though, at
	11	point in time.
that	12 CHAI	R TAVARES: Okay. So we can look at reworking
	13	section, because I think the following sentence
delete	14	would have to be changed if we're going to
	15	the prior phrase. So that's a good point.
	16 COUN	CILMEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you.
	17 CHAI	R TAVARES: Do you have any others on your little
	18	list?

	19	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: The other section that I had
was		
	20	on I guess it would be page 6, and I had
highlighte	ed	
	21	in Section C, "The studies shall include but not
be		
	22	limited to an assessment of infrastructure,
public		
	23	facility, water, health care systems, et
cetera,"		-
	24	and I thought that was very good, but I also
wanted		g g, g, a a
	25	to include in there some language about
directing	20	to include in their some language about

50	PC 9/5/02	
You	1	these particular aspects of the infrastructure.
role	2	know, more or less looking at, again, what our
	3	is in controlling these particular areas, the
	4	various parts of infrastructure, but also maybe
	5	setting some parameters on where we wanted to do
but I	6	that. So I thought the basis was really good,
	7	thought we might be able to at least include a
really	8	little bit more responsibility in how we are
assessed.	9	going to direct how that infrastructure is

10	COUNCILMEMBER TAVARES: Well, I think this part might
11	written that way because it's under the heading
12	technical plans and studies. So I think this
13	section and correct me if I'm wrong,
14	Mr. Miskae is outlining what those kinds of
15	and studies would look like and then using that
16	information would go into more detail on another
17	section.
18	Mr. Miskae, do you care to comment?
19	MR. MISKAE: That's correct, Madam Chair.
20	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Okay. So, you know
21	CHAIR TAVARES: You know, it's this this is always
22	challenge because you want to start out first,
23	know, with general statements and then refine
24	and then you've got a nice little tree started,
25	then sometimes you go back to, you know, maybe
	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

51		
but	1	second branch and want more detail in that one

in	2	to me it's got to be a logical progression. So
definition	3 s in	some place it's just like you have
those	4	the beginning of an ordinance and then from
	5	definitions then you go into what you're talking
much	6	about in detail. So sometimes if we put too
	7	detail in the front, we might get lost with, you
	8	know, what the purpose was of that particular
	9	section.
I	10	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: And I totally understand, and
	11	think more of what I was looking at in the last
	12	sentence I'll just read it. It says, "Where
excesses	13	existing capacity or service deficits or
standards	14	relative to the national or appropriate
we're	15	and future population projections," once again
	16	getting into future population projections.
go?	17	I'm looking at, well, where do we want to
	18	If we're looking at just everybody coming to our
bit	19	island, we do live on an island. It's a little
	20	different than Chicago, and so there are certain
within	21	constraints that I believe we have to look at
-	22	the whole planning process, and if we just say -
a	23	well, if everybody says, well, we're growing at

	24	rat	e of 7	perce	ent	populat	cion	per	year,	well,	we
have											
	25	to	figure	then	ten	years	from	nov	v, 70	percen	t

52	PC 9/5/02	
all	1	increase. What I'm looking at is saying, well,
we	2	right, where do we want growth to occur and do
do in	3	want growth to occur? Or what are we going to
can	4	a realistic way to constrain growth so that we
where	5	plan? Part of planning is also managing, and
	6	I'm looking at this is trying to say not just
	7	reacting to what we project, but actually doing
	8	something and putting plans in place that will
community	9	actually achieve a goal that we have as a
	10	in mind, and not just reacting.
When I	11	So that's kind of where I'm looking.
a	12	look at language like that, I look at it more as
something	13	reactive kind of statement, as opposed to
just a	14	that is that we're directing. And that's
	15	comment. So that's the way I'm it may be

	16	something totally different. Maybe I'm reading
	17	something into it that really isn't there.
	18	COUNCILMEMBER TAVARES: I think maybe it's intended to
on	19	make sure that it becomes part of the discussion
you	20	managing and planning, and, I mean, I don't
know,	21	know, at some point, I mean, that's why, you
European	22	England and Portugal and all those other
because	23	countries went out to conquer the world was
at	24	they had already filled up their capacity. So
certain	25	some point in time, you know, growing at a

53	PC 9/5/02
more	1 rate as you described, there is going to be no
	2 room for people.
	3 COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: Right.
our	4 CHAIR TAVARES: And where do we go next, or where is
produce,	5 population going to go or the kids that we
know,	6 where are they going to live? And it's you

know,	7	it's a bigger question than just Maui. You
have	8	it's all over the world, but I think we need to
is	9	that information about what the projected growth
whatever	10	so we know where exactly if we don't do
	11	it is that this is indicating, that we've got to
means	12	figure out something else to do, whether that
	13	building higher density or allowing only higher
piece	14	density to occur so we don't swallow up every
	15	and square foot of land or what. I mean, maybe
places.	16	we've got to buy people one-way tickets to
kinds	17	I mean, you know, that there's all
here	18	of questions, but I think that its appearance
this	19	is to make sure that we don't forget or that
We	20	does become a critical part of the discussion.
based on	21	do have to look at those growth projections
	22	our past history, and I think that you know,
	23	we've seen a lot of times projections, ten years
	24	ago didn't we exceed the projections from ten
	25	years ago? What they said ten years ago, our

54

1	population was going to be, didn't we exceed it?
2 other	MR. MISKAE: We're pretty close in some areas and
3	places we've exceeded, other places
4 doing	CHAIR TAVARES: Not, uh-huh, okay. So, I mean, not
5	it every ten years, like I think Brian has been
6	saying all along, that we need to follow up, you
7 three	know, in intervals. Maybe it's one or two or
8	years to see how are we comparing what actually
ye we	happens with what the projections were so that
10 Miskae.	don't get caught off guard too much. Mr.
11	MR. MISKAE: Two years.
12 recommending,	CHAIR TAVARES: Two years. I think he was
13	yeah, two years that we look at where we are and
14	where we said we thought we were going to be and
15 the	that gives a pretty good indication of some of
16	direction.
17 know, it	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: And I think it all you
18 also	all ties in, because what I'm talking about is
19	philosophical.
20	CHAIR TAVARES: Right.
21 not	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: You know, some of it really is

	22	anything you that's a hard number. It's
beyond	23	continually changing, and if there are events
there	24	our shores that affect population growth or if
in	25	were to be conditions existing here, or anywhere

55	PC 9/5/02
	the world for that matter, that would mean there
was	
are	2 an exodus of our population, well, these plans
we're	3 only as good as, you know, the reality that
	4 dealing with at that particular time. And
all	5 projections, for me, it's a best guess. That's
	6 we're doing, and as you say, they're just
	7 projections.
	8 CHAIR TAVARES: Okay. Brian.
	9 MR. MISKAE: Madam Chair, I understand completely what
	10 Member Johnson is saying. Santa Barbara, for
	11 example, built in a tremendously effective
	12 development constraint. They just didn't bring
to	water in. I mean, there are things you can do

my	14	constrain development in the future. I may bite
	15	tongue when I say this, but, for example, making
probably	16	light rail corridors. Light rail requires
	17	30 dwelling units per acre. You build them in.
sudden	18	You're going to need the density. All of a
what	19	you've invited that kind of density. If that's
	20	you want for Maui County in the future, you'll
	21	probably get it. So, I mean, you have to think
	22	about these things in the future.
	23	But to respond briefly, Madam Chair, to
	24	Member Johnson's comments about the technical
evolution	25	studies, you kind of have to look at the

56	PC 9/5/02	
vision	1	of this particular bill, starting off with a
	2	statement; going then to a managed and directed
going	3	growth plan; then an action plan, what are you
going	4	to do; the capital improvement element, what's
	5	to be done to do that action plan; the financial
	6	element, how you're going to pay for it; and the

it.	7	implementation schedule, when you're going to do
basis	8	The technical studies really give you a
	9	for what you have on the shelf. The population
	10	projections, as I mentioned at the last meeting,
	11	this crystal ball stuff is beyond me. I mean,
projection	12 ns	they're basing our entire projection
and I	13	on tourism, because that's our main industry,
nonetheles	14 ss,	don't think that's going to change, but
	15	it is a single element that they base their
those	16	projections on. And it's up to us to tweak
	17	things.
and	18	And that's why I talked about a managed
establishi	19 .ng	directed growth plan. I talked about
consistent	20	urban growth boundaries not necessarily
put	21	with what our people are saying they're going to
	22	population here, there, and whatever,
	23	indiscriminately I guess you might even say, but
place.	24	actually where you want the growth to take
	25	And that's of course going to step on, you know,

	1	Mr. Mayer's comment that, you know, maybe some
we	2	communities might say I don't want this, but if
wc		
	3	looked at Maui as a whole, which is what the
what	4	island-wide plan intends to do, that's really
	5	this Council's going to have to look at. All
nine		
	6	are going to have to look at Maui and the outer
have	7	islands as well as a whole to make sure that we
that	8	a community that we're going to be proud of,
the	9	our quality of life will be better, and that's
	10	purpose. I'm talking a little flowery now, but
to	11	that's the purpose of this planning process, but
	12	keep the community involved right from the
	13	beginning.
	14	The idea of having the Planning Director
	15	prepare the plans ahead of time I think answers
	16	DeGray's comment and also Ron McOmber's comment,
get	17	because they are then the CACs are going to
Department	18	all of the information that the Planning
whereas	19	used to create the draft in the first place,
	20	the last time they kind of started from scratch.
can	21	Now they're going to get the whole package they

	22	work with. So I think it's better.
even	23	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: And I agree. I think that
input,	24	just having the discussion and getting the
think	25	too, from the public has been very good, but I

58	PC 9/5/02	
I	1	that we have a lot of homework ahead of us, and
are	2	really do appreciate everything that you both
because	3	doing, the other Council members as well,
of	4	when we all participate together, what comes out
	5	it in the end is something that's going to bring
	6	more people in instead of just that core that
or	7	Mr. McOmber talked about. Just having those 15
	8	18 people in a community, that's not what I call
	9	good. It just really isn't.
but	10	These people are dedicated to doing this,
people	11	they need a life too, and I think the more
really	12	that you bring into the process, I think it

	13	makes it much healthier, because then it gives
a	14	people that have been involved in it a long time
it	15	little bit of a respite from doing this, because
	16	does it does wear on you after a while and it
make	17	does get frustrating. And I think that as we
	18	it more attractive and more appealing for other
dialogue,	19	people to come in and participate in the
	20	the end product is going to be something that's
people.	21	really very good, and it will attract more
know,	22	Maybe it might create more problems, but, you
	23	I think that dialogue is good.
to	24	That's all, Madam Chair, that I'm going
	25	deal with right now because the other comments I

59	10 3, 0, 01	
I	1	have I'll just put in writing because I want
everybody	2	don't want to use you know, just usurp
	3	else's time. Thank you.
some	4 CHAIR	TAVARES: Are there any other members that have

PC 9/5/02

	5	points? Mr. Mateo.
	6 COU	UNCILMEMBER MATEO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Perhaps
	7	just to continue where the testifiers left off,
were	8	because their recommendations and suggestions
	9	all really very good. I believe the proposal
	10	already addresses some of the concerns, such as
there	11	public participation and public notices, but
	12	is additional for example, Mr. Mayer's
is	13	recommendation of including community boundaries
	14	a real interesting opportunity for us to be real
	15	specific so there's no questions as to where one
should be	16	community begins and the other ends. This
	17	a consideration.
days	18	The consideration of increasing the 120
really	19	to a longer term is one that I think is it
	20	must be included in the proposal. On the
	21	infrastructure, Sean indicated the inclusion of
	22	telecommunications. Even though the proposal
to	23	identifies public facilities, I think in order
part	24	avoid an assumption that telecommunications is
	25	of that, we should be specific and add it in.

the	1	And just to add, DeGray's comment that
that	2	community side of the process is not broken,
	3	really sets the tone to the urgency in being
	4	inclusive with the proposal. Thank you, Madam
	5	Chair.
at	6	CHAIR TAVARES: Thank you. Mr. Carroll, any comments
	7	this time?
into	8	COUNCILMEMBER CARROLL: Thank you, Chair. As we move
touched on	9	the planning process, I think everybody's
	10	the things that we're really concerned with, not
	11	only addressing our community individual
into	12	community's unique needs, but incorporate it
	13	the whole of Maui, Moloka'i, and Lana'i. And at
address	14	some point we are attempting at this time to
little	15	a community's needs strictly. There is very
that	16	consideration of the whole, and unless we have
it,	17	some place in this document and we to address
this	18	and I really don't know how to put that in at
Because	19	time, we are going to have real problems.
	20	every community wants to stay the same and let

do	21	everybody else change around them, and we can't
	22	it that way. We are going to have to as the
going to	23	communities do their general plans, there's
	24	have to be some process where we address the
	25	concerns of Maui County. And I say Maui County

61	PC 9/5/02	
	1	because what happens on Maui directly impacts
	2	Moloka'i, directly impacts Lana'i, Kahoolawe.
done.	3	I think there's a lot of work yet to be
done.	4	I'm very happy with this document as I see it so
and	5	far, but I think we probably need to go further,
to	6	if it's said that I think that if we cannot come
of	7	the ultimate solution and we cannot work out all
	8	the details of integrating the different
we	9	communities, we should still proceed with what
year	10	can put together, because we can continue next
I	11	and the following year and we can work on this.
and	12	don't want to see us held up and this not pass

the	13		we because we can't solve every problem with
	14		planning process.
	15	CHAIR	TAVARES: Right.
can,	16	COUNCI	LMEMBER CARROLL: So I hope we do the best we
we	17		we do address this, we do recognize this, but if
	18		can't solve every problem in this, I still think
this	19		that we should do the best we can and pass out
	20		document. Thank you.
to	21	CHAIR	TAVARES: Thank you. Mr. Hokama, would you like
	22		share with us your meetings on Lana'i?
us	23	COUNCI	LMEMBER HOKAMA: Thank you, Chair. For some of
I'm	24		that's been following this for many decades, and
advantages	25 is		sure many people have, that one of the
			RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS. INC

62	PC 9/5/02	
	1 2	we've basically completed the full second phase. We've revised the original plans for many
districts think	3	and we're going to now the next phase. And I

th	ırough	4	what is positive about our County is that
an	ıd	5	each phase, the process has always been reviewed
th	ıat	6	comments have tried to improve it, and I think
as		7	is by itself a healthy self review of who we are
th	iis	8	a County, as individual islands that make up
		9	County, as well as review of who we are as a
		10	community in each respective region.
po	int	11	I think Mr. Miskae brought up a good
Уo	vu	12	about needing maybe more periodic I believe
wh	ile	13	said two years, Mr. Miskae, and I view that as
in	ı	14	people have made comments that we need to be
		15	specific areas more prioritization should be
I		16	better, implementation should be more specific,
re	quired	17	think we are also trying to keep a level of
pl	anning	18	flexibility, Chair, that is needed in the
		19	process. Take like for Lana'i, the original
ba	se	20	community plan worked on the primary employment
th	at	21	as agriculture, the plantation, pineapple, and
th	ıe	22	we wanted to diversify that. Upon completing
		23	second or updated community plan, we have no
We	're	24	longer an agrarian industry on the island.

63	PC 9/5/02	
	1	community or island.
	2	What concerns me is things are happening
to	3	quickly on a global scale, Chair. The ability
opinion, to	4	have some flexibility is critical, in my
with	5	maintain, and while we're doing the best we can
gorilla,	6	projections and we understand the 800-pound
visitor	7	as our Finance Director tends to present the
world.	8	industry, we all know what's happening in the
the	9	And should we enter in armed conflict, should
	10	United States expend over \$100 billion of U.S.
world	11	resources to fight this conflict, should the
limit	12	change and say we are going to restrict and
	13	who can fly, where you can fly, and when you can
	14	fly, we will be impacted by situations we have
plan	15	little or no control over, Chair. And can the

	16	still address and be flexible enough to adjust
to		
	17	this ever changing times at the speed that it's
	18	changing? That concerns me, Chair.
	19	It is good to follow a distinct,
	20	straightforward path knowing that certain
for	21	circumstances will not change, and it's easier
101		
	22	decision makers in the community to move forward
now	23	because certain things remain static. We are
110 W		
	24	living in a world that has reduced that level,
	25	whereby, again, may not be the Middle East, it
may		

64	PC 9/5/02	
going	1	be some place in India or Pakistan and we're
United	2	to have a regional conflict not including the
	3	States but may impact us regarding the fuel, the
in	4	fossil fuel that we need to generate and operate
of	5	our County. What happens if we have a reduction
we	6	that capability to have energy resources until
do to	7	develop new alternate sources? What does that

	8	our County? What does that do to our growth
	9	patterns and ability to provide still yet
	10	opportunities for our ever growing younger
	11	generations?
but	12	So I'm being more philosophical, Chair,
of	13	we still need a proper balance between the idea
the	14	planning and improving, yet still dealing with
	15	uncertainty of challenges that may come up by
	16	tomorrow and then the very next day. So I am
brain	17	optimistic we have enough more than capable
a	18	power in this community, in our County. We have
	19	lot of willing volunteers that are committed to
	20	their communities that I look forward to
again,	21	participating with us, and I'd just like to,
think	22	bring up the point that we are now, whether we
and	23	it's good or bad, part of a global situation,
those	24	global conflicts, global impacts will affect
	25	of us in Maui County. Thank you.

thoughts.	1	CHAIR TAVARES: Thank you for sharing your deep
practical	2	I thought you were going to be more on the
	3	level about your experiences in last night's
	4	meeting.
Му	5	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: That is something else, Chair.
	6	only comment is while it's good to rehash how
we	7	certain things are where we are today, sometimes
Lana'i	8	tend to forget that for especially with
it	9	community plan that was adopted in 1998, Chair,
time	10	was proposed and put together as a snapshot in
We	11	during 1993 and we are a totally not totally.
because	12	are a very dramatically changed community,
numbers	13	between 1990 and the new census, although by
from	14	it may seem very small to maybe my colleague
grew	15	West Maui or South Maui, percentagewise Lana'i
very	16	over 50 percent. Okay. Percentagewise we grew
-	17	much, not in numbers.
affects us	18	But the impact, nonetheless, still
the	19	both positively as well as negatively because of
	20	change in the dynamics now of what makes up our
	21	community by age brackets, by income levels, you

	22	know, and so while the plan still has very good
whole	23	basic foundation that we need to follow, the
we	24	makeup of who we are now on Lana'i is not what
	25	were in 1993, and that's part of the plan I find

66	PC 9/5/02	
	1	dynamic, and, again, the need to be flexible to
that	2	still adjust to that kind of changes, Chair,
	3	we've initiated and we've helped create to bring
So	4	about the changes that we have influence over.
	5	thank you for letting me share a few points this
	6	afternoon.
afternoon	7 CHAII	R TAVARES: Such philosophy on a Thursday
different	8	after a very long week for some of us on
even	9	islands having meetings late into the night and
from	10	on this island, but I appreciate the comments
we've	11	the members and keep them coming. I know that
had	12	had a very busy schedule and you may not have

any	13	time to write your thoughts down, but please at
you	14	time that you've got some precious moments where
to	15	can actually make some comments about revisions
Wayne	16	what's presented here, please submit them to
	17	Boteilho.
for	18	And what I'm going to ask at this time
	19	our Staff to do and in conjunction with or in
this	20	consultation with Mr. Miskae is to rearrange
	21	document. We talked the last time about
general	22	restructuring the document so that all the
	23	plan things items are together and all the
don't	24	community plan items are together so that we
	25	have to go back and forth, because some of the

67		
	1	sections I know Mr. Miskae was following the
	2	current format so that we could see how it
compared	3	with the existing ordinance, but I think we've
now		
	4	gone beyond that. I think everybody understands

PC 9/5/02

existing	5	its this proposal's relationship to the
as	6	ordinance and nothing has jumped out at anybody
can	7	being totally out of whack. So I think now we
	8	massage this further and get it into a more
ordinance.	9	understandable and logically developed
	10	And I would ask Mr. Boteilho and I did
	11	talk with him briefly and he's willing to take a
then	12	crack at restructuring this whole ordinance and
them	13	he will be talking with Mr. Miskae and both of
time	14	put their heads together, and I think at this
have	15	we would send it to Corporation Counsel once we
new	16	I think one more meeting where we'll review this
	17	format.
you	18	And if we could ask, Mr. Boteilho, when
	19	include some of the changes that the members are
	20	suggesting, that maybe we should highlight that
know,	21	section rather than use underlines and, you
	22	brackets, because we already have underlines and
	23	brackets in this one. So to show further that
you	24	there's more Committee work, maybe highlight
	25	know, like if we wanted to change a word or a

68	PC 9/5/02	
	1	section or delete something, that that be
	2	highlighted and then a note put on the side or
we	3	something to indicate where that change was so
	4	know where the change occurred, maybe help us.
	5	We're going to need a legend pretty soon to tell
	6	us guide us through the document.
we're	7	But I'm very pleased at the progress
proposed	8	making with this particular ordinance
	9	ordinance. I think it really, really helped
	10	immensely to have Mr. Miskae or someone from his
we	11	staff accompany us in our community meeting so
changes	12	all heard the same thing, and I think the
	13	are going to be probably minimal. There's some
	14	areas where we have some agreements or some
We	15	disagreements, but I think most of it is minor.
until	16	can focus on the major parts and then we
	17	after the general election and see what the
	18	community tells us what they want us to do, and
should	19	Mr. Miskae has set this up in such a way that

our	20	whichever amendment passes, we can easily change
that	21	proposed ordinance if we haven't adopted it by
	22	time.
not	23	Just for your information, members, I am
the	24	planning to have a meeting on the 19th. Is it
	25	19th of September? I'm not planning to have a

69	PC 9/5/02	2
	1	meeting on the 19th of September, neither am I
	2	planning to have a meeting on October 3rd, the
	3	Thursday of the fair parade. I think that would
that	4	be there would only be a few of us in here
want	5	day, but because the traffic is closed and we
	6	everybody to go and enjoy the County fair, we'll
we'll	7	postpone that meeting, but right after that
this.	8	get right back into the swing for working on
	9	So we have a little while.
adjourn	10	Okay, any other comments before we
	11	the meeting?
	12 COUN	NCILMEMBER HOKAMA: One last one, please, Chair.

	13	CHAIR TAVARES: Yeah.
	14	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: Thank you. And I'm glad you
	15	refreshed my mind. First I want to thank
	16	Mr. Miskae, his Long Range Division of the
the	17	Department of Planning, Mr. Min, of course, and
with	18	Mayor because I think what they're trying to do
to	19	this I guess an advisory committee on helping
community	20	prioritize implementation, parts of the
	21	plan which we just went through on Lana'i last
	22	night, and I believe it was our final meeting,
	23	Mr. Miskae, that I am looking forward to see how
the	24	this will assist not only the Administration but
how	25	Council during budget review and the process of

70	PC 9/5/02	
the	1 2	to allocate County resources. And, again, being able to present through
to	3	prioritization of implementation requests, then Council can go back and say, well, we're willing

this	5	do one in every district, but the price tag is
that	6	much, then will the people be willing to pay
priorities	7	level of taxation to implement those top
thankful	8	within each district? And so I'm, again,
planning	9	that we are looking to improve not only the
budgetary	10	process but also how we can improve the
	11	process in allocating resources. So I find it
positive	12	dynamic again, and I look forward to the
be	13	movements forward and adjustments that we will
Chairman.	14	able to make in a very short near future,
	15	Thank you.
	16	CHAIR TAVARES: Members, do you have any objections to
	17	Mr. Mayer coming back to offer another thought?
	18	COUNCILMEMBER JOHNSON: No.
	19	COUNCILMEMBER HOKAMA: No.
	20	CHAIR TAVARES: No, okay.
	21	MR. MAYER: Could someone turn the light off, please.
just	22	Greatly appreciate the chance. One thing that
-	23	occurred to me, because I went through it down -
	24	CHAIR TAVARES: Could you pull the microphone a little
	25	closer to you.

RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS, INC.

	PC	9/5/02
71		

	1	MR. MAYER: As I listened to your comments, which I
the	2	thought were excellent, all of you, in terms of
	3	concept of island-wide, I said it briefly, that
Maui	4	Moloka'i and Lana'i are different than Maui.
have	5	has the six plans, and in here right now you
	6	the island-wide thing and it's in the section
of	7	dealing with the general plan, which is made up
general	8	the 32 members who would be making up the
Moloka'i	9	plan. I'm not sure the people on Lana'i or
	10	would want 28 people from off island making the
	11	island-wide plan.
carefully	12	And so I would urge you to consider
	13	just somehow deleting Lana'i/Moloka'i from the
that	14	island-wide section and then include some of
	15	section in the community plan area for those two
be	16	islands. So that you have one plan that would
implementa	17 ition	island-wide and community plan with
the	18	actions, all the things that would be done, but

and	19	island-wide section refer really to Maui island
and	20	separate from the other two. I think Moloka'i
that	21	Lana'i will come out much better by doing it
	22	way. Thank you for letting me speak.
the	23 CHAIR	TAVARES: Yeah, thank you, and I think that was
	24	intention originally, was to recognize that the
	25	community plan for Moloka'i and Lana'i were the

72	PC 9/5/02	
look	1 island plans, and so we we'll take	a closer
	2 at that.	
the	3 MR. MAYER: Yeah, I think it's a question of	f moving
It's	4 word. I think your intention is excel	llent.
way.	5 just making sure that the sections rea	ad that
	6 Thank you very much.	
for	7 CHAIR TAVARES: Thank you very much. Any qu	ıestions
	8 Mr. Mayer? Okay. Thank you a lot.	
members?	9 Okay. Any other closing commer	nts,
	10 Staff, do you have any comments?	

	11 MR. MISKAE: No.
	12 CHAIR TAVARES: He's ready to go, Brian. All right.
	13 Thank you very much. This meeting's adjourned.
	14 (Gavel).
	15 COUNCIL MEMBERS VOICED NO OBJECTIONS.(excused: DPK and
MJM)	
	16 ACTION: DEFER pending further discussion.
	17
	18 ADJOURN: 3:07 p.m.
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	29
	RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS, INC. (808) 524-2090
7.0	PC 9/5/02
73	
	1 CERTIFICATE
	2 STATE OF HAWAI'I)

6 I, Jessica R. Perry, Certified Shorthand Reporter

CITY AND COUNTY OF MAUI)

) SS.

3

5

	7	for the State of Hawai'i, hereby certify that the	
and	8	proceedings were taken down by me in machine shorthand	
	9	was thereafter reduced to typewritten form under my	
of	10	supervision; that the foregoing represents to the best	
	11	my ability, a true and correct transcript of the	
	12	proceedings had in the foregoing matter.	
of	13	I further certify that I am not attorney for any	
	14	the parties hereto, nor in any way concerned with the	
	15	cause.	
Honolulu,	16	DATED this 9th day of October, 2002, in	
	17	Hawai'i.	
	18		
	19		
	20	Tabai as D. Dawwa (CCD NO. 404	
	21	Jessica R. Perry, CSR NO. 404 Notary Public, State of Hawai'i	
	22	My Commission Expires: 5/11/03 (gavel).	
	23		
	24		
	25		